

Previous references
336-40/12 2918/219 17 1904 05
608-1/Ed 327/14 1 1900
(Education)

File No 277 04
Serial Nos 14 9 10

Late references
Ed 32-11 (1903)

Ed 204-12
122
Ed 377-13 (1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 1 2, 9 10

Part B for March 1906

SUBJECT

Contingent charges of the office of the
Inspector General of Education

- 1 From the Comptroller
No 5241/841 of 23/8/05 - States that he has taken objection
to certain contingent charges incurred by the Dpt and en-
quires whether these charges may be admitted
- 4 To the Dpt of Edn, No 10777 of 15/5/05
Requests him to ascertain & report as to what items in the
Budget are liable as contingent charges in London
to the Dpt and whether the Heads of the Dpt Dep't in their
Presidences have power to incur expenditures within
the Budget limit
- G R 53

From the Dpt of H^{rs}
No 5290/3142/14/1/06

Notes that the Director of Public Instrⁿ.
in Madras & Bombay, have got power to incur
contingent charges within the Budget limits
and request that a Genl order empowering
him to incur such expenditure may be issued

10 To the Dpt of H^{rs}
" Compt^r
GO No 9237-879/1/06

Authorizes the Dpt to incur contingent-
charges under certain specified heads in
the Budget

1904-05

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Education)

NOTES

File No 277-04

FILE HEADING

Contingent charges of the Office of the
Sgt of Govt in Mysore

From

The Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore

No

5241
841

Dated

23/3/05

Received

24/3/05

Diary No 6466}

Enclos

Spire Copy

Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF FILE

States that for the reasons stated, he has not passed certain charges included in the contingent bills of the office of the Sgt of Govt for the months of June and Sept 1904 and requests to be informed whether the charges may be admitted

NOTES AND ORDERS

Subd for orders with references

Vim

31/3/05

The following detailed note
is submitted as per Asst Secy's order-

Pg-0

Notes—First sheet

Serial
No 1

2 The general order bearing on the Subject empowers Heads of Departments and Dy Commrs to sanction only of ~~com~~ the purchase out of the Contingent grants allotted to them, of Country made articles of value not exceeding Rs 10 in each case and of articles made out of India not exceeding Rs 5 in each case (Vide G.O No 3306^x-40/Pl 291 dt 27/9/1897) But the Dy Commr has been specially empowered to incur on his own authority expenditure within the limits of the Budget provision under the following heads (Vide G.O No 600-1/Ed 32 dt 14/7/00)

Heads (State Fund Budget)

Annual provision

18 C Education

Sub head (C) -

		Rs	a	ps
Item 12	Purcs to Reference books for colleges	1,200	0	0
Item 13	Do - High Schools	685	0	0

18 F Education

Sub-Head (F)

Item 3	Encouragement to authors	4,000	0	0
" 4	Books to maps	10,000	0	0
" 5	School Journals	2,000	0	0

18 G Education

Item 7	Grants to Oriental Library - Sanskrit Literature etc	4,000	0	0
--------	--	-------	---	---

Serial
No 1

18 of Education

"Miscellaneous"

Rs - as for

Item 2 Furniture & Apparatus - 3,500-0-0

" 5- Prizes & Special grants
to Taluk Schools } 2,854-0-0

3 Under the authority of the above
G.O., the Sd/- purchased the following
articles and included them in his office
contingent bills for June to Sept 1904 -

Article	Amount	Debit Head
1) Cyclostyle	Rs 218-2-0	Village School Fines
2) 10 Murals	180-0-0	Do
3) Stereographs 1st instalment	500-0-0	18 of Sd/- Item 3. Books and contingent charges of the Sd/- Library & Museum
4) 3 Stereoscopes	12-0-0	Do
5) 1 Mural	100-0-0	18 of Sd/- Oriental Library

4- The Comptroller has declined to
pass the above charges as he considers
that the G.O. above referred to, does not
appear to be a sufficient authority for
the Sd/- to incur the charges in question
without the sanction of Govt and more-
over that the articles themselves are

Serial
No 1

not of a usual or ordinary con-
tingent nature

5 The Govt order specially empowering
the Lgl, does not authorize him to
incur charges from the Village School
Fund and so items 1 & 2, ~~it appears~~,
should not have been purchased with-
out the sanction of Govt. But the
Lgl's contention is that, so long as
the Govt have empowered ^{him} to incur
certain charges from the State Funds,
it is an authority for him to in-
cur similar charges from the Village
School Fund

How

6 Item 3 Purchase of Stereographs
(1st instalment) involves a responsibility
upon Govt to purchase the other
instalments also and that the Comptroller
states that it ^(Chunghuan) is open to Very Serious
objection on principle Art 98 Item (ii)
states that no charge which binds Govt
beyond a single payment, such for in-
stance, as Rent, may be incurred with-
out the sanction of Govt. Though the
present charge is not a recurring one in
the strictest sense, yet it seems to be
objectionable under the above Art.

Dis & x
Govt con A
Enc —

Serial
No 1

Moreover the Special order does not include the Head Govt Library & Museum and so ~~item 3 & 4~~ the Govt has no specified authority to purchase items 3 & 4 without Govt sanction

The above remarks apply ^{also} to item 5 Munrah for the Oriental Library as the Head in the Budget "Purchase and Repair of Furniture for the Oriental Library" for which there is a provision of Rs 250, is not included in the Special order.

7 Finally the Comptroller states that the special order empowering the Govt to incur expenditure within the Budget provision under certain heads, does not specify the articles that may be purchased and so it enables the Govt Deptt to purchase even articles of an unusual or extraordinary nature and to evade the rules of contingent audit

Subd for adms with draft

15/4/05

B.D.

22/4/05

Submitted to the Dewan Sahib
thru 1st Committee for orders Heavy
sums have been made 11.1.11.11.11

22/4/05
15/4/05

Serial
No

A general authority has been given to him in regard to certain items of expenditure from the State Fund Budget. It is difficult for me to see why a similar authority should not be given to him in the matter of like purchases from the Village School's Fund Budget. His contention that because power was given him in the one case, therefore the existence of a similar power in the other must be presumed cannot of course be admitted. The order of ~~27th Sept~~ 14: 9th/100 may now be properly held to apply to Village School Funds also, as otherwise there would be much unnecessary and profitable correspondence. In regard to items not covered by the order above referred to, the sanction of Govt should not have been anticipated or deemed unnecessary. The draft special sanction proposed may be conveyed with the draft revised as above.

Serial
No

Page - But the order must
be made ~~more~~ more explicit
according to the news of
the Comptroller - & then there
be no room for the evasion
of ~~the~~ act and the article
of Civil Account Code 98 may
also be introduced & the in-
terpretation therein referred to
enjoined to be observed

6258 / 1/5/05 Under

Branch IV Reverse the draft & bring
4.5/05

Revised draft Subd

Recd
6/5/05

Draft submitted to the
Deputy Secy thro the
Comptroller 8/5/05

2568
13 5 1905
Copy

Recd

I don't think any contract
or withdrawal of names was
intended instead of its
exclusion. Item of the kind
in question seem to come
under "Contract for interest charges"

Serial
No

Many facts the L.C. seems
to have long exercised the
powers, even before the date
of the order of 1900. You have
than in every case would
necessitate much additional
correspondence without any
corresponding advantage.
What is the British practice
please kindly refer to
the Madras & Bombay Educa-
tional Board as get me the
information from the L.C.
cl.

In Branch IV

Afford the info wanted please

1575705

13/5
1751253

draft sent as the information is not
but available in office
17/5
29/5/05

Lmd

25/5/05

4 To the Insp^r Genl of Edn in Mysore
No 10777 of 25/5/05 Towards a copy of
Pl^y No 1 and Requests him to ascertain and
report as to what items are generally treated
as Contract contingent charges in Madras
& Bombay and whether under the Edn
bodies the Heads of the Edn Deptt of

Serial
No

7 of those Presidencies have power to incur expenditure within Budget limit without the sanction of Govt

9 From Do - No 5290/314 of 14/11/06 States that the Directors of Public Instruction in Madras and Bombay have got powers to incur such contingent charges without the sanction of Govt but it is not useful to compare the practice in those Presidencies with that in Mysore as there are certain fundamental differences between the Schools in those Presidencies & those in Mysore and requests for the reasons given the Govt may be clear order may be issued to directing that the Govt may incur expenditure within the Budget provision under the heads given in the list enclosed to prevent any misunderstanding in future

Sd/- for order with references

K. M. S. M.
25/1/06

27/1/06
25/1/06

The enumeration of items

Serial
No

in the order of 14th July 1900 is
not exhaustive. That order does
not ~~therefore~~ ^{however} apply to charges
of the kind therein mentioned
which may be incurred
from the Village Fund Budget.
Hence all this misunderstanding.
- Standing ~~Order~~ The ~~Def~~
must have the same
power in regard to the
utilization of all grants
placed at his disposal
irrespective of the Budgets
to which they relate. The
list he has now furnished
is complete & if approved
would remedy the defect
noticed in the order
above referred to. All
the items therein set out
are "contract contingent
charges as contemplated
by article 74 of the Account
Code on account of each
of these charges, a lump
sum is annually allotted
Government ^{of Delhi} ~~either~~ ^{both} from

Serial
No

the State Funds & from the Village
Funds & Local Funds General -
much unnecessary corres-
- pondence & delay without any
countervailing advantage
would be avoided if it
should be laid down
once for all that the D.G.
may incur expenditure within
the limit of the allotments
in question without any
further sanction. What is
wanted is an explicit
order" as observed by the
Dewan, recognizing the
D.G.'s power, & not an order
withdrawing or curtailing it.
Orders may be passed
accordingly. *(initials)*

For a Draft ³¹⁻¹⁻⁰⁶
12 1906

709
29/2/

Draft Subd for approval or other orders
(initials)
24/06

Submitted to the Dewan
Subd with a draft drawn up as
per commdors opinion ^{612/06}
1165- ¹¹¹⁶¹
11-2-06

Serial
No

11470

I have already accepted
the views of the Collector - &
shall say so a draft approved
by him may be issued
& to hasten the work & avoid
delay I send back the file
to the 7th Collector with the
Secretariat draft

24 2 06 Und

Rd 8 Am
26 2 06

1423
26 2 1906

Draft added @

26/2/06

To The Secy

- 10 To the Dy and Comptroller no 923729/3/06
States that the purchase of the Stereo
graph to be paid for in three
annual installments should
not have been effected without
the previous sanction of Govt
and authorizes the Dy to incur
charges within the sanctioned provision
under the various heads of the San
budget mentioned in the list
appended with the observation
that an extraordinary and doubtful
cases previous sanction of Govt is
to be obtained

D 6466 Ed 7c 27.7-04 sel

TREASURY ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER GOVT OF MYSORE

BANGALORE 23^d 1st 1900

From

7 1 (Pasu Tc Tcoul,

COMPTROLLER GOVT OF MYSORI

10 B I Ven at lar a I en r Tsa

~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF MYSORE

General and Review Dept

Silk,

I have the honour to state that, among others, 19 charges on account of the largely noted articles appeared in the Detail for inent bills of the Office of the Inspector General of Education for the months of June and September 11, this office declined to audit them without the special sanction of Government, in accordance with G. O. 3700-10/121 dated 27-9-37. The Inspector General of Education quotes G. O. 800-1/1232 dated 14-7-1900 as his authority. The articles themselves do not come under the category of criminal or usual common law articles of the department and he also is quoted by the Inspector General does not appear to his office to sanction the bringing of such charges of his own responsibility.

The Government order allows the Inspector General of
 Education to incur charges of the kind under Alberta's
 within the limits of the Budget provided that the
 Government, and recognises the tens in a
 is a condition of the purchase of the
 all the other 100/- 500/- 1000/-

Article	*Amount	*Debit Head	Months account
Cyclostyle	R. 218-2-4	Village School Fund *	June 1904
10 Anthraks	R. 180-0-0	Do	Do
Stereograph	R. 500-4-4	State Fund (Educational)	Sept. 1904
3 Stereographs	R. 12-4-4	Do	Do
1 Albumen.	R. 100-0-4	Do - Oriental Library	Do -

14
null
24/3/25
No 582113

Leaving place of DI PARTML

190

From

Mr. K. B. B. Bahadur Mead
THE COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT

To
Mr. V. K. K. Bahadur Mead
THE SECRETARY TO GOVT OF MYSORE,
General & Revenue Dept

Dated

Despatched

Received

23
March

No 5241
7/7/25 No 5/11

SUBJECT MATTER

A letter is received from the
charges on account of certain
articles noted within, having
appeared in the State (Confession)
hall of the office of the Director of
Police for June & Sept 1925

GRS 26]

See 118

Ed File No 27704

Serial No ~~X~~
2

Diary No of letter replied to _____

General and Rev Secretariat

(
the 190

To

The Insp^r Genl of Edⁿ
in Mysore

Date of issue

25-5-05

Signed by

M. H. Ramayya

In forwarding herewith
copy of letter No 3241-841
of the 23rd March 1905, from
the Comptroller to the
Govt of Mysore stating
that certain articles
charged for in the con-
tingent bills of the
Office of the Insp^r Genl of
Education for the months
of June and September
1904 cannot be ^{admitted} ~~admitted~~
on his own responsibility
without the previous
sanction of Govt, has the
over

SUBJECT

honor to request the Insp^r
 Genl. ^{of B.E.} to be good enough to
 ascertain and report ^{the practice prevailing in Madras & Bombay} whether
 under the Educational Codes
 of Bombay and Madras, the
 heads of Edⁿ dep^{ts} or those
^{Presidents} ~~Presidents~~ are empowered to
 incur similar contingent
 charges within budget
 limits, without reference
 to Govt in any case; and
 if not, in what cases sanction
 of Govt ^{is} sought and
 what items are generally
 treated as recognized
 contingent charges, the
~~normal provision under which~~
 these officers have power to incur within budget limits
~~without further~~
 sanction of Government

List of enclosures,

It is requested that copies of the
 Education Codes may also be sent for reference ^{opened by}

By order

Examined by

40/2/5

20/5/1305
Secretary

18/5/05

29/10/05

85922
M (5)

Edn. 91]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MADRAS

No. 3330
314

Bangalore 14th January 1935 190

Regd. Sub
2/1/36

From

H J BHABHA Esq M A,

Inspector Gen. of Education in Mysore

To

B K Venkatavaradengar Esquire

Secretary to the Government of Madras

Maharaja of Mysore General and Revenue Departments

Bangalore

Sir,

2/1/36
JL No 2
Tr. Cont. out
for file

With reference to your docket N 10777/ 1(277 of 34)-4 dated 10th May 1934 I have the honour to inform you that on account of my long and frequent absence in the Madras Presidency as a member of the University Commission for the inspection of Colleges and for attending meetings of the Senate, as well as on account of the time required for ascertaining the practice in Bombay and Madras in regard to the contingent expenditure provided in the Educational Budget, I regret it has not been possible to reply earlier to your docket quoted above. The question of the powers of the Head of the Education Department in Mysore in regard to contingent expenditure has been raised on two previous occasions and settled and yet the present Controller has deemed it necessary to raise it again for the third time.

Shortly after I was appointed Education Secretary in 1930 I was asked by the Dewan to find out the powers of the Director of Public Instruction in Madras. This I did and it was ascertained that the Director of Public Instruction could sanction all contingent charges

charges within budget limits himself As Head of the Department I have enjoyed all the powers generally of a Director of Public Instruction in a British Province since 1890

3 In 1900 the question of my powers in regard to contract contingent charges which are defined (in Civil Account Code Vol I Chap 6 article 74) as charges "for which a lump sum is allotted annually within which the officer may incur expenditure as required without further sanction of any kind" was raised again by the Comptroller in his letter No 3420/2215 dated 1st June 1900 addressed to Government Government have passed on this reference explicit orders No 300-1/Ed32 dated Bangalore 14th July 1900 A copy of this Order I enclose herewith In the preamble of that Order the different allotments for contingent expenditure are quoted except the provisions in the Education Library and Museum for Books & the sub-heads Furniture and apparatus under VII Oriental Library These omissions are apparently clerical errors The order itself however is comprehensive enough and includes even these omissions The order distinctly states that "Charges of the kind under advertence can be incurred by the Inspector General of Education within the limits of the Budget provision without the special sanction of Government "

4 Even such an explicit order does not satisfy the Comptroller and he takes objection to certain items of expenditure incurred by me in June and September 1904 The whole question of contingent expenditure appears to be misconceived by the Comptroller The heads of contingent expenditure enumerated in the Order of 14 July 1900 are not only "ordinary or usual contingencies" as the Comptroller terms them but are also "Contract contingent charges" as defined in Chapter VI sec 74 Civil Account Code vol I As the provision under each head is that of "contract contingent charges" and Government have expressly defined my powers with regard to them, which are in conformity with the sanctioned practice of the department for many years past, I submit that the objections raised by the Comptroller savour of hyper-criticism If any further proof of the views of Government on the subject be necessary I would refer you to para 2 of Order No 2321-22/P393

dated Bangalore 23th August 1899 which is as follows -

Out of the usual budget provision of Rs 3000 (under IX General Furniture and Apparatus in the State Funds Budget) the Inspector General is at liberty to make such distribution or allotment among the different institutions as he thinks proper not exceeding the total grant, giving due intimation to the Comptroller.

I shall now answer the objections of the Comptroller in detail. He objects to the cost of an Almira of Rs 100 purchased in September 1904 for the Oriental Library and says that it was debitable to the grant under the head of Purchase of furniture and not under Oriental Library. If he had taken the trouble to refer to detailed account No 137-education-(7) Oriental Library in the State Fund budget he would have seen that there is always under that head sub-head 9 for Purchase and repair of furniture. The cost of the almira in question, which is large and handsome and of a type specially designed for the Oriental Library, was debited to the detail head mentioned above. The provision for Purchase of furniture in the budget which the Comptroller refers to is for the supply of furniture and apparatus required by the Department generally and not the furniture of the Oriental Library which is specially provided for under (7) Oriental Library.

The Comptroller's next objection refers to Rs 500 paid to Messrs Underwood and Sons as the first of three instalments for stereographs paid in September 1904 out of the provision of Rs 1000 made for the Educational Library and Museum. Government Order CNo 78 dated 21st August 1891 sanctioning the formation of the Library and Museum gives a list of the kind of books maps furniture and Educational appliances that may be purchased from the provision for the Library. A copy of this Order is appended to this letter for ready reference. It will be seen that stereographs fall under Heading (a) Pictures for the teaching of science. The stereographs purchased are a complete series of pictures of all the principal countries in the world with descriptive books arranged in a cabinet which

which Messrs Underwood and Sons sell at the moderate price of Rs 1500 Stereographs form a recognised part of the apparatus^t for teaching History and Geography and many of the schools in Europe and America are equipped with them Individual Colleges in India like the Elphinstone College and the Deccan College in the Bombay Presidency have bought the complete set I purchased a set and placed it in the Educational Library as the most economical way of providing stereographs for the 3 colleges and 14 High Schools of Mysore The annual provision for the Educational Library and Museum is only Rs 1000 and as Messrs Underwood and Sons accepted payment by three annual instalments of Rs 500 I was able to purchase the set without asking for an additional grant Stereographs are obviously a part of apparatus suitable for the Educational Library and Museum and yet the Comptroller fails to conceive Stereoscoopes as falling under the category of ordinary or usual contingencies "

*This is not
the purpose
of the
fund*

7 The Comptroller confounds stereographs with stereoscopes and is amazed at my purchase of stereoscopes at a cost of Rs 1500 I do not expect him to understand the requirements of the Education Department But he goes to the length of making a sweeping statement based on the purchase of stereographs which is both reckless and unwarranted He says "the particular articles purchasable out of and debitable to the grants noted in the Government Order, not having been specified in it it enables the Education Department to purchase even articles of an unusual or extraordinary nature and to evade the rules of contingent audit simply by bringing them under the grants against which the Inspector General is empowered to incur expenditure without the sanction of Government so long as the budget grant under it is not exceeded " I have already shown that almirahs and stereographs are not "articles of an unusual or extraordinary nature " But the charge that I am able "to evade the rules of contingent audit"^(see) is unfounded and gratuitous I submit that I am as incapable of trying "to evade the rules of contingent audit" as the Comptroller himself Every item of expenditure incurred by me is legitimate and debited to the proper head or sub-head

*The Comptroller
is not the
one who
is to be
responsible
for the
expenditure
incurred
by the
Government
in the
purchase
of the
stereographs*

I feel constrained to invite the attention of Government particularly to the reckless nature of the charges made by the Comptroller who has not only failed to understand the requirement of the Education Department but also to study the existing orders of Government relating to them

8 The remaining objections of the Comptroller relate to the purchase of Cyclostyles at a cost of Rs 218 and of Almirahs at a cost of Rs 180 from the Village School Fund in June 1934 Cyclostyles are required for reduplicating examination papers in Middle Schools and fall under the sub-head of 'furniture and apparatus' for which Rs 4000 is provided in the Village School Fund Budget under III General The almirahs referred to are small and cheap almirahs with wooden doors each costing Rs 18 for holding small libraries of schools and fall under the same sub-head

9 The Comptroller raises a doubt whether Order No 500-1/Pd32 dated 14 July 1933 applies equally to the Village School Fund Budget as to the State Fund Budget Both the funds are administered by Government and the budgets are kept distinct merely to confine each budget to provisions peculiar to each Government specified in the above order the nature of the charges which may be incurred by the Inspector General within the limits of the budget without the special sanction of Government and therefore it is equally applicable to the Village School Fund Budget as to the State Fund Budget The wording of the order that charges of the kind under advertisement can be incurred clearly shows that such was the intention of Government

10 With reference to the information required by Government relating to the powers of the Directors of Public Instruction of Bombay and Madras in regard to contingent expenditure, I beg to inform you that no reference is made to the powers in the Educational Codes of these provinces I wrote to the Director of Public Instruction Bombay and asked in regard to contingent charges for which lump sums have been sanctioned by Government and are annually provided in the Budget whether the Director of Public Instruction in Bombay has power to incur expenditure within the limits of the budget allotments without the

No objection at present at order of the Inspector General

Sto - Inspector General - that the order is applicable to the State Fund Budget

the further sanction of Government " the contingent charges referred to being those incurred in purchasing books articles of school furniture apparatus chemicals gymnastic apparatus &c He replies that he has this power I have already stated in para 2 the Director of Public Instruction Madras has also the same power

11 It should be understood that most of the primary schools in Bombay and Madras are either Local Fund or Municipal Schools which are under the management of Boards and therefore no contingent expenditure connected with them is provided in the Educational Budget In Bombay the Government maintains chiefly the Colleges High Schools Secondary Schools and a few Girls' Schools In Madras the grant-in-aid system is more widely extended than in Bombay and there are comparatively fewer institutions maintained by Government Provision therefore for the contingent expenditure of the Government Institutions is made in the budget estimates under each institution separately No lump sums for prizes library books furniture &c for all the departmental schools are necessary as in Mysore where the lowest village school is a Government institution and nearly all institutions of various grades with only a few exceptions are maintained by Government It is not therefore useful or instructive to compare the practice in Bombay or Madras with that of Mysore

12 In conclusion I request that in order to prevent misunderstanding in future Government will be pleased to make it quite clear with reference to Government Order No 300-1/2d32 of 14 July 1900 that in regard to the contingent charges of which a list is annexed the Inspector General has the power to incur expenditure within the limits of the Budget provision without the special sanction of Government

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant


Inspector General

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

Read -

Letter No 5423/2215 dated 1/19 June 1900 from the Comptroller

to the Government of Mysore

III Instructor

- (1) Prizes and reference books for Colleges
- (2) - - - - - do - - - - - Higher Schools

submitting copy of a communication from the Inspector General of Education in

VI Book Department

- (1) Encouragement to authors
- (2) School Journals
- (3) Books and maps

Mysore & request not to be informed if the items noted in the margin can be treated as recognised contingencies the normal provision under which the

VII Oriental Library

- (1) Sanskrit Literature and Kannada manuscripts

Inspector General of Education in Mysore has

IX General

- (1) Furniture and apparatus
- (2) Prizes and special grants

authority to utilise at his discretion without further sanction of Government

Order thereon No 5423-1 Dated Bangalore 14th July 1900
Sd 32

Charges of the kind under advertence can be incurred by the Inspector General of Education within the limits of the budget provision without the special sanction of Government

(Sd) L Anan as vmi KLo
For Secretary

To

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore

The Inspector General of Education in Mysore for information

" True copy "

[Signature]
Inspector General

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
(Educational) —dated 21st August 1891*

READ —

Educational Society Meeting of the Educational Library and Museum of
Mysore dated 30th July 1891

C No 78 DATED 21st AUGUST 1891

ORDER —The formation of an Educational Library and Museum for the Province is approved of. The Library and Museum will contain besides other things a collection of the following books maps furniture and Educational appliances —

- (1) The latest specimens of approved school books of every kind
- (2) A collection of the best maps charts diagrams and pictures for object lessons and the teaching of science
- (3) Specimen of improved desks seats teachers tables &c
- (4) A collection of specimens for object lessons
- (5) Models and plans of school houses
- (6) Kindergarten toys diagram games and materials.
- (7) A collection of works on the Theory and Practice of Teaching. A sum of Rs 1000 will be provided in this year's Budget for the Library and Museum from the State Funds

H J BHABHA

Secretary

T —The Comptroller

1891
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

EDUCATIONAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 21st August 1891

No C 78

Sanctioning the formation of an Educational Library and Museum for the Province of Mysore

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—8991.—25

Contingent items of Expenditure of the Education
Department

@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@

State Fund 18 C (3) (I) - Government Colleges and High Schools

- (1) Library and Prizes in Colleges and High Schools
- (2) Excursion charges of Theological students X

II The Maharani's College

Library and Prizes

Reference Books

Books for poor girls X

18 D

(4) Government Schools - Special

(1) Normal Schools

Library Grants

Agricultural Farm

Model-r

Seeds Manures &c

18 F (5) Book Department

- (1) Encouragement of Authors
- (2) Books and Maps
- (3) School Journal

18 G (7) Oriental Library and Search for Sanskrit MSS

- (1) Section Writing
- (2) Sanskrit literature and Sanskrit and Kannada MS
- (3) Printing paper
- (4) Purchase and repair of Furniture

18 J (10) Miscellaneous

- (1) Furniture and Apparatus and Chemicals
- (2) Books and contingent charges of the Educational Library and Museum - as stated in G O No 78 dated 21st August 1891
- (3) Prizes and special grants for Government Taluk Schools for boys and girls

II - Village School Fund

III - General

(1) Furniture and apparatus

(2) Books and Maps

(3) Prizes

(4) *Hoble School Contingencies*

III - Local Funds General

Industrial and other Special Schools

I Industrial School Mysore

(1) Machinery and tools X

(2) Stock materials

(3) Sheds &c X

(4) Wages of temporary establishment X

(5) Office and school furniture

(6) Prizes

(7) Library

II - Other Industrial Schools

(1) Initial cost X

(2) Materials

III - Engineering School Mysore

(1) Library and Reference books

(2) Prizes

H. J. Bhabha

Inspector General

X were proposed to be omitted, but
 not - B. D. & - see Secy's personal
 entry at foot of 11 or 12

13 -
 20/6/14

File No 277-04
Serial No 10

Copy No of letter replied to - 5922

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT
()

READ -

the

190

Date of issue 9-3-06

Signed by H R

Letter No 5241/841 of the 23rd
March 1905 from the Comptroller to
the Govt of Mysore, stating that in
accordance with G.O. No 3306-40/FL
291 of the 27th Sept-1897 he declined
to pass the charges on account of the

ORDER IN PRINCIPLE - No.

Article	Amount	Debit head, month
Hydrotype 214 2 0		Village School Fund
10 Manuscripts 180 0-0		do - do -
10 Stereographs 500 0-0		State Fund
1st draft 3		Govt Library & Museum
23 Stereo copies 12 0-0		do - do -
7 Manuscripts 100 0-0		do - do -
		Central Library - do -

marginally noted articles which
had been included in the contingent
bills of the office of the Sgl of Govt.
for the months of June to Sept 1904
but the Sgl of Govt. quoted G.O.
No 300-1/82 32 of the 14th July 1900
as his authority for purchasing
over

SUBJECT

them and requesting to be informed whether the charges may be admitted though in his opinion the G.O. quoted by the J.G.L. is not a sufficient authority for him to incur such charges without the sanction of Govt.

2 Subsequent correspondence ending with letter No 5290/314 of the 14th January 1906 from the J.G.L. of Govt. in response on the Subject.

Order thereon

No 9237-8 of 9-2-06
Ed(277-04)-10

The above correspondence relates to the powers of the J.G.L. of Govt. as regards Contract contingent charges for which a lump sum provision ^{was} ~~has been~~ made in the Budget. G.O. No 600-1/Ed 32 of the 14th July 1900 empowers the J.G.L. to incur on his own authority expenditure within the limits of the

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet draft form)

needs any
e (c) re

May this not be countered?
wh? Let it remain

Budget provision ^(only) under certain
Specified items in the Govt State
Fund Budget ^{only} It cannot therefore
be taken as a sufficient authority
for similar ^{incurrence} charges under other
items in the same Budget or
those from the Village School Fund
Budget. The purchase of Stereographs
at a cost of Rs 1500 to be paid
in annual instalments of 500
ought not to have been ^{made} without
the previous sanction of Govt.
as Art 99 (3) of the Govt Account-
book clearly ^{states} that no charge
which binds Govt beyond a
single payment can be incurred
without the ^{single} sanction of Govt. In
the present case the charges already
incurred by the IGL in 1904 will
be admitted as a Special case

2 The Govt however con-
sider that the IGL should have
the same power in regard to the
utilization of all grants placed
at his disposal irrespective of the
Budgets to which they relate and
they are accordingly pleased to
authorize him to incur charges
within the Budget provision under

the various heads of the Est. Budget
 mentioned in the list appended
 hereto. This ^{however} does not ^{authorize} the
 Est. to incur extraordinary or
 unusual contingent charges, and
 in case of doubt the sanction
 of Govt. should ^{always} be obtained.

May this please be
 Please see Comptroller's
 letter, read - above

as to
 evade the
 rules of
 the contingent
 audit -

22/10/06

Secretary

23/10/06

To the Est. of Est.
 Comptroller

Ria
 27/10/06

⊗⊗ Please see the
 list given in the paper
 under dispatch. Some
 of the items marked
 therein as X may perhaps
 be omitted if approved.

R B

6/2/06

20/10/06

26/10/06

Typed 27/2

New stamp
 27/3

